

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES JOHNSON,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-2579 LKK JFM P

vs.

M. JIMINEZ, et al.,

Defendants. ORDER

On September 21, 2005, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

Local Rule 78-230(m) provides in part: “Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion . . . .” On May 19, 2004, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.

Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court.” In the order filed May 19, 2004, plaintiff was advised that failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the  
2 date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion to dismiss or a  
3 statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation  
4 that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

5 DATED: October 25, 2005.

6   
7 John F. Marullo  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 john2579.46osc

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26